## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

PATENT

Docket: CU-4462

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-2 and 4-25 are pending before this amendment. By the present amendment, claims 1-2 and 4-25 have been canceled without prejudice and new claims 26-48 are <u>added</u>. No new matter has been added.

The undersigned attorney initiated a series of communications with the examiner on August 27, 2010 in which a formal telephone interview was completed on August 24, 2010. The examiner did not comment on the obviousness rejections with regards to the proposed amendments. However, the examiner <u>agreed</u> that the proposed amendments overcome the written description, indefinite and non-statutory rejections. It was also agreed that the proposed amendments should be redrafted into new claim format so that they can be more easily read and understood.

In the office action (page 2), it is indicated that the substitute specification filed October 21, 2009 has not been entered due to not conforming to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c).

In response, a substitute specification is provided in accordance with the examiner's suggestion. The substitute specification in proper idiomatic English is supplied herewith in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a). The substitute specification does not contain any new matter and is amended only to further clarify the presently claimed invention. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this objection.

In the office action (page 5), claims 1 and 18-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶1 as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 1 and 18-19 have been subsequently canceled without prejudice and therefore the basis for this rejection has been removed.

Furthermore, the above comments concerning the agreement reached concerning the new claims is applicable here. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

In the office action (page 7), claims 1, 18 and 23-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite.

Claims 1, 18 and 23-25 have been subsequently canceled without prejudice and

Docket: CU-4462

therefore the basis for this rejection has been removed.

Furthermore, the above comments concerning the agreement reached concerning the new claims is applicable here. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

In the office action (page 7), claims 13-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite.

Claims 13-14 have been subsequently canceled without prejudice and therefore the basis for this rejection has been removed.

Furthermore, the above comments concerning the agreement reached concerning the new claims is applicable here. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

In the office action (page 8), claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 18 has been subsequently canceled without prejudice and therefore the basis for this rejection has been removed.

Furthermore, the above comments concerning the agreement reached concerning the new claims is applicable here. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

In the office action (page 8), claims 1-7, 18-20 and 23-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over PCT Publication No. WO0207030 (Nam). The "et al." suffix is omitted from the Nam reference name.

The applicants respectfully disagree and submit that the claims, as they now stand, are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

In the office action (page 17), claims 8-17 and 21-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Nam in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,471 (Cheung). The "et al." suffix is omitted from the Cheung reference name.

The applicants respectfully disagree and submit that the claims, as they now stand are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

For the reasons set forth above, the applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-2

PATENT

Docket: CU-4462

and 4-17 and 19-25, now pending in this application, are in condition for allowance over the cited references. Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections and earnestly solicit an indication of allowable subject matter.

This amendment is considered to be responsive to all points raised in the office action. Should the examiner have any remaining questions or concerns, the examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to expeditiously resolve such concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 3, 2010

gren/K. Thompson/Ph.D., Reg. No. 45,918

Ladas & Parry LLP

224 South Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300

## **APPENDIX OF ATTACHMENTS**

Clean copy of substitute specification (a total of 25 pages)

Marked copy of amended original specification (a total of 27 pages)